

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/821,279	MAEHIRO, KAZUTOYO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Asad M. Nawaz	2155	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Asad M. Nawaz

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Alan Miken

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Outstanding Rejections*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated interview to discuss claims 1 and 10. More specifically, claim 1 was proposed to clarify that the additional client system is in fact the second client system, thus overcoming a potential rejection under 35 USC 112. Amendments to claim 10 were proposed to overcome potential rejections under 35 USC 101. For more detail, refer to accompanying Examiner's Amendment..